



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,007	11/28/2001	Satoru Maeda	450101-03636	2639

20999 7590 11/30/2006

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG  
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.  
NEW YORK, NY 10151

EXAMINER

O'STEEN, DAVID R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2623

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/996,007

Applicant(s)

MAEDA ET AL.

Examiner

David R. O'Steen

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-10 and 15-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-10 and 15-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Note to Applicant***

1. Art Units 2611, 2614 and 2617 have changed to 2623. Please make all future correspondence indicate the new designation 2623.

### ***Oath/Declaration***

2. Upon review, the declaration received by the Office February 5, 2002 is no longer considered defective.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-10, and 15-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman (US 6,072,983) in view of DeWeese (2005/0262542).

As regards Claims 7,9 and 10, Klosterman discloses an information processing apparatus and corresponding method and computer readable medium comprising:

Art Unit: 2623

acquisition means for acquiring data of an electronic program guide (col. 3, lines 4-9); first retrieval means (fig. 1B.28) for retrieving a program aired within a preset time as from the current time (such as retrieving program data from a DBS system), based on the electronic program guide data acquired by said acquisition means (col. 4, lines 55-64); second retrieval means (fig. 1B.26 or fig. 1B.30) for retrieving the program aired at the current time (such as retrieving program data from cable or another source), from the electronic program guide data acquired by the acquisition means (cols.4 and 5, lines 66-67 and 1-4); selection means (such as using a remote to navigate an EPG, fig 1A.32) for selecting one of retrieval by said first retrieval means and retrieval by said second retrieval means (by using the remote to select a program through the program guide, the program coordinator chooses the retrieval means [IRD or cable box] from which to retrieve the program, col. 8, lines 5-13); and electronic program guide display controlling means for controlling the display of said electronic program guide (such as tuning to a cable channel or tuning to a satellite channel) based on retrieved results by said first retrieved results by said first retrieval means or retrieved results by said second retrieval means as selected by said selection means (by the user using the remote, col. 8, lines 3-11). Klosterman fails to disclose command means for commanding a display of a chat; and chat display controlling means for controlling the display of a chat window so that, when display of the chat is commanded by said command means, the chat window display is not overlapped with the display of the electronic program guide. DeWeese discloses command means for commanding a display of a chat (such as a set-top based system for implementing a chat program,

paragraph 60); and chat display controlling means for controlling the display of a chat window so that, when display of the chat is commanded by said command means, the chat window display is not overlapped with the display of the electronic program guide (paragraph 66, fig. 9, and paragraph 93).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the chat features of DeWeese, an analogous art, to the program guide of Klosterman so that users can discuss common topics while using the television.

As regards Claim 8, Klosterman further discloses designating means (such as using the remote, 1A.32, to move between different channels, times, or shows, to select) for designating a program to be received (such as selecting, from a program guide, a channel to which to tune) based on said electronic program guide controlled as to display by said electronic program guide display controlling means (col. 8, lines 5-11).

Claims 15, 16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman (US 5,940,073) in view of DeWeese (2005/0262542).

As regards Claims 15, 18, and 19. Klosterman discloses an information processing apparatus and corresponding method and computer readable medium comprising: web information acquisition means (such as through a web-browser box) for acquiring data of the web information (col. 4, lines 48-56); program information acquisition means for acquiring data of the program information being television broadcast (such as through a satellite or cable link) (col. 4, lines 48-56); information display controlling means for controlling simultaneous display of said web information

Art Unit: 2623

and the program information based on the data of the web information acquired by said web information acquisition means (fig. 6d and col. 9, lines 54-67); and changing means for changing said program information to be acquired (col. 10, lines 9-14); in a state in which said web information and the program information are displayed simultaneously (fig. 6d and col. 9, lines 54-67). Klosterman fails to disclose command means for commanding a display of a chat; and chat display controlling means for controlling the display of a chat window so that, when display of the chat is commanded by said command means, the chat window display is not overlapped with the display of the electronic program guide. DeWeese discloses command means for commanding a display of a chat (such as a set-top based system for implementing a chat program, paragraph 60); and chat display controlling means for controlling the display of a chat window so that, when display of the chat is commanded by said command means, the chat window display is not overlapped with the display of the electronic program guide (paragraph 66, fig. 9, and paragraph 93).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the chat features of DeWeese, an analogous art, to the program guide of Klosterman so that users can discuss common topics while using the television.

As regards Claim 16, Klosterman further discloses that changing means changes a broadcasting channel being received as said program information (col. 10, lines 9-14).

Claims 1,3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson (US 2005/0204387) in view of Nakazawa (US 5,299,010) and in further view of DeWeese (US 2005/0262542).

As regards Claims 1,3, 5, and 6, Knudson discloses an information processing apparatus comprising: acquisition means for acquiring data of an electronic program guide (such as through the internet, paragraph 111, lines 1-4); electronic program guide display controlling means (such as a device capable of displaying a and EPG, fig. 1.17) for controlling the display of said electronic program guide based on data of said electronic program guide acquired by said acquisition means (such as fig. 10); and selection means (such as a remote control 3.40) for selecting a preset program based on said electronic program guide controlled as to display by said electronic program guide display controlling means (paragraph 98, lines 1-14); but fails to disclose verifying means for verifying whether or not said program selected by said selecting means is a program of a channel that is receivable; and output information controlling means for controlling the information output for having the viewer recognize that, if the program selected by said selection means is verified as not being a program of a channel that is receivable, said program is not receivable. Nakazawa discloses verifying means for verifying whether or not said program selected by said selecting means is a program of a channel that is receivable; and output information controlling means for controlling the information output for having the viewer recognize that, if the program selected by said selection means is verified as not being a program of a channel that is receivable, said

Art Unit: 2623

program is not receivable (such as by labeling receivable channels one color, red, and not receivable channels another, white, col. 5, lines 16-52).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to add the verifying means for verifying whether or not said program selected by said selecting means is a program of a channel that is receivable, as in Nakazawa, an analogous art, to the interactive program guide system of Knudson, so as to make it easier on the user to identify which programs are not receivable in a broadcast consisting, possibly, of hundreds of channels.

Knudson and Nakazawa fail to disclose command means for commanding a display of a chat; and chat display controlling means for controlling the display of a chat window so that, when display of the chat is commanded by said command means, the chat window display is not overlapped with the display of the electronic program guide. DeWeese discloses command means for commanding a display of a chat (such as a set-top based system for implementing a chat program, paragraph 60); and chat display controlling means for controlling the display of a chat window so that, when display of the chat is commanded by said command means, the chat window display is not overlapped with the display of the electronic program guide (paragraph 66, fig. 9, and paragraph 93).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the chat features of DeWeese, an analogous art, to the program guide of Kundson and Nakazawa so that users can discuss common topics while using the television.



Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klosterman (US 5,940,073) in view of DeWeese (US 2005/0262542) and in further view of Klosterman (US 6,072,983).

As regards Claim 17, Klosterman '073 and DeWeese disclose the information processing apparatus of Claim 15, but fail to disclose that said changing means erases a broadcasting channel being received as said program information. Klosterman '983 does disclose that said changing means erases a broadcasting channel being received as said program information (col. 7, lines 25-34).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to add erasing a broadcasting channel being received as said program information, as in Klosterman '983, to the interactive program guide system of Klosterman '073 and DeWeese, so as to allow the user to remove clutter from the electronic program guide.

### ***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2623

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R. O'Steen whose telephone number is 571-272-7931. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,007  
Art Unit: 2623

Page 10

DRO

  
CHRISTOPHER GRANT  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600